


Prevalance of self-reported asthma among adults (18+), HKPR district, RRFSS, January – April 2010

Table 1: Prevalance of self-reported asthma among adults (18+)

Self-reported asthma	Proportion	95% lower confidence limit	95% upper confidence limit
Yes	9.1%	6.6%	12.4%
No	90.9%	87.6%	93.4%

Sample size: 411

Figure 1: Prevalance of self-reported asthma among adults (18+)



Interpretation:

9.1% (+/-3%) of adults (18+) report they have asthma.

90.9% (+/-3%) of adults (18+) report they do not have asthma.


Prevalance of self-reported diabetes among adults (18+), HKPR district, RRFSS, January – April 2010

Table 2: Prevalance of self-reported diabetes among adults (18+)

Self-reported diabetes	Proportion	95% lower confidence limit	95% upper confidence limit
Yes	11.7%	8.6%	15.6%
No	88.3%	84.4%	91.4%

Sample size: 410

Figure 2: Prevalance of self-reported diabetes among adults (18+)



Interpretation:

11.7% (+/-4%) of adults (18+) report they have diabetes.

88.3% (+/-3%) of adults (18+) report they do not have diabetes.


Prevalance of self-reported high blood pressure among adults (18+), HKPR district, RRFSS, January – April 2010

Table 3: Prevalance of self-reported high blood pressure among adults (18+)

Self-reported high blood pressure	Proportion	95% lower confidence limit	95% upper confidence limit
Yes	32.9%	28.3%	37.9%
No	67.1%	62.1%	71.7%

Sample size: 411

Figure 3: Prevalance of self-reported high blood pressure among adults (18+)



Interpretation:

32.9% (+/-5%) of adults (18+) report they have high blood pressure.

67.1% (+/-5%) of adults (18+) report they do not have high blood pressure.

Data Limitations:

1. Questions are only asked of adult residents aged 18+.
2. The telephone survey is only conducted in English.
3. Results are based on self-reported behaviours.
4. (*) indicates that results are based on small sample size; thus, estimates have high variability.

Data Notes:

1. Missing responses are not included in the analysis.
 2. 'Do not know' and 'Refused' responses are analyzed according to RRFSS Analysis Guidelines.
 3. The 95% confidence limits are the range of variability for a population estimate. There is a 95% probability that the **true** estimate is within that range.
 4. Release criteria of the data are according to RRFSS Analysis Guidelines.
- * Interpret with caution; high variability in the estimate.
** Data not released.