




Support for making beaches smoke-free, adults (18+), HKPR District, RRFSS, January – December 2010

Table 1: Support for making beaches smoke-free

Degree of support	Proportion	95% lower confidence limit	95% upper confidence limit
Strongly or somewhat support	76.4%	73.8%	78.9%
Strongly or somewhat oppose	23.6%	21.1%	26.2%

Sample size: 1171

Figure 1: Support for making beaches smoke-free



Interpretation:

76.4% (+/-3%) of adults (18+) strongly or somewhat support making beaches smoke-free.

23.6% (+/-3%) of adults (18+) strongly or somewhat oppose making beaches smoke-free.


Support for making outdoor public patios where food and drink are served smoke-free, adults (18+), HKPR District, RRFSS, January – December 2010

Table 2: Support for making outdoor public patios where food and drink are served smoke-free

Degree of support	Proportion	95% lower confidence limit	95% upper confidence limit
Strongly or somewhat support	76.9%	74.2%	79.4%
Strongly or somewhat oppose	23.1%	20.6%	25.8%

Sample size: 1175

Figure 2: Support for making outdoor public patios where food and drink are served smoke-free



Interpretation:

76.9% (+/-3%) of adults (18+) strongly or somewhat support making outdoor public places where food and drink are served smoke-free.

23.1% (+/-3) of adults (18+) strongly or somewhat oppose making outdoor public places where food and drink are served smoke-free.


Support for making playgrounds smoke-free, adults (18+), HKPR District, RRFSS, January – December 2010

Table 3: Support for making playgrounds smoke-free

Degree of support	Proportion	95% lower confidence limit	95% upper confidence limit
Strongly or somewhat support	83.7%	81.4%	85.8%
Strongly or somewhat oppose	16.3%	14.2%	18.6%

Sample size: 1184

Figure 3: Support for making playgrounds smoke-free



Interpretation:

83.7% (+/-2%) of adults (18+) strongly or somewhat support making playgrounds smoke-free.
 16.3% (+/-2%) of adults (18+) strongly or somewhat oppose making playgrounds smoke-free.


Support for making doorways to public places smoke-free, adults (18+), HKPR District, RRFSS, January – December 2010

Table 4: Support for making doorways to public places smoke-free

Degree of support	Proportion	95% lower confidence limit	95% upper confidence limit
Strongly or somewhat support	89.5%	87.5%	91.3%
Strongly or somewhat oppose	10.5%	8.7%	12.5%

Sample size: 1184

Figure 4: Support for making doorways to public places smoke-free



Interpretation:

89.5% (+/-2%) of adults (18+) strongly or somewhat support making doorways to public places smoke-free.

10.5% (+/-2%) of adults (18+) strongly or somewhat oppose making doorways to public places smoke-free.


Support for making sports fields smoke-free, adults (18+), HKPR District, RRFSS, January – December 2010

Table 5: Support for making sports fields smoke-free

Degree of support	Proportion	95% lower confidence limit	95% upper confidence limit
Strongly or somewhat support	80.9%	78.4%	83.2%
Strongly or somewhat oppose	19.1%	16.8%	21.6%

Sample size: 1174

Figure 5: Support for making sports fields smoke-free



Interpretation:

80.9% (+/-2%) of adults (18+) strongly or somewhat support making sports fields smoke-free.
 19.1% (+/-3%) of adults (18+) strongly or somewhat support making sports fields smoke-free.


Support for making doorways to workplaces smoke- free, adults (18+), HKPR District, RRFSS, January – December 2010

Table 6: Support for making doorways to workplaces

Degree of support	Proportion	95% lower confidence limit	95% upper confidence limit
Strongly or somewhat support	87.9%	85.8%	89.8%
Strongly or somewhat oppose	12.1%	10.2%	14.2%

Sample size: 1178

Figure 6: Support for making doorways to workplaces smoke-free



Interpretation:

87.9% (+/-2%) of adults (18+) strongly or somewhat support making doorways to workplaces smoke-free.

12.1% (+/-2%) of adults (18+) strongly or somewhat support making doorways to workplaces smoke-free.


Support for making the following smoke-free: playgrounds, beaches, sports fields, doorways to workplaces and public places and patios where food and drink are served, adults (18+), HKPR District, RRFSS, January – December 2010

Table 7: Support for making the following smoke-free: playgrounds, beaches, sports fields, doorways to workplaces and public places and patios where food and drink are served

Degree of support	Proportion	95% lower confidence limit	95% upper confidence limit
Strongly or somewhat support	65.8%	62.9%	68.6%
Strongly or somewhat oppose	34.2%	31.4%	37.1%

Sample size: 1201

Figure 7: Support for making the following smoke-free: playgrounds, beaches, sports fields, doorways to workplaces and public places and patios where food and drink are served



Interpretation:

65.8% (+/-3%) of adults (18+) strongly or somewhat support making the following smoke-free: playgrounds, beaches, sports fields, doorways to workplaces and public places and patios where food and drink are served.

34.2% (+/-3%) of adults (18+) strongly or somewhat oppose making the following smoke-free: playgrounds, beaches, sports fields, doorways to workplaces and public places and patios where food and drink are served.

Data Limitations:

1. Questions are only asked of adult residents aged 18+.
2. The telephone survey is only conducted in English.
3. Results are based on self-reported behaviours.
4. (*) indicates that results are based on small sample size; thus, estimates have high variability.

Data Notes:

1. Missing responses are not included in the analysis.
 2. 'Don't Know' and 'Refused' responses are analyzed according to RRFSS Analysis Guidelines.
 3. The 95% confidence limits are the range of variability for a population estimate. There is a 95% probability that the **true** estimate is within that range.
 4. Release criteria of the data are according to RRFSS Analysis Guidelines.
- * Interpret with caution; high variability in the estimate.
** Data not released.